IT 651 Instructional Design Final Project Scoring Rubric (revised 11-4-16)

Criteria	Un- satisfactory	Developing	Proficient	Exemplary
Needs Assessment with Meaningful Impact (ISTE 2.c, 3.g, 4.a, 5.c) (CF: 2.5, 2.6, 3.1) (Disp: 1.4, 1.6, 2.3, 2.5, 3.1, 5.1, 5.3)	Has 0 of the criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 1 of the three criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 2 of the three criteria from the Exemplary Category	 Need for project is clearly articulated such that it: a) Includes evidence from a professional client that supports the rationale for the project b) Is shown to be meaningful and relevant in professional literature c) Demonstrates how the project will make a transformational impact on learners d) Describes how the learning will have a meaningful local, regional, national, and/or global impact
Diverse Learner Analysis (ISTE 2.b, 2.d, 2.e, 2.f, 3.d, 4.a, 5.c) (CF: 1.4, 2.2, 2.3, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 3.1) (Disp: 1.4, 1.6, 2.2, 2.4, 3.2, 3.3)	Has 0 of the five criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 1-2 of the five criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 3-4 of the five criteria from the Exemplary Category	 A detailed audience description that includes: a) Demographics, especially including the racial, ethnic, and cultural diversity of the learners b) Expected learner abilities (prerequisite skills) c) Methods and tools that will be used to determine actual learner abilities (eg. pretests or interviews) d) Insights into relevance of instruction to learner aspirations and goals e) The adaptive or assistive technology needs of the learners
Context Analysis (ISTE 4.a, 5.c) (CF: 1.4, 2.7) (Disp: 1.4, 1.5, 3.1, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3)	Has 0-1 of the six criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 2-3 of the six criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 4-5 of the six criteria from the Exemplary Category	A detailed description of the learning environment that includes: a) How it fits into an existing or proposed curriculum b) Available teaching materials c) Budget d) Available professional support personnel for learners and instructors e) Cultural constraints
Task Analysis (ISTE 2.f, 4.a) (CF: 1.1, 1.2) (Disp: 1.4, 1.6, 5.1)	Has 0 of the four criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 2-3 of the five criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 4 of the five criteria from the Exemplary Category	Clearly identified goals and objectives that include: a) Measurable performances (tasks) b) A summary list of learning strategies (detailed instructional strategies are included later in the instructional plan) c) Performance indicators (assessments) d) Scaffolding to professional standards (eg ISTE 2.f)

Collaborative Learning Plan and Materials (ISTE 2.b, 2.f, 3.a, 4.b) (CF: 2.2, 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 3.1) (Disp: 1.4, 1.6, 2.2)	Has 0 of the four criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 2-3 of the five criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 4 of the five criteria from the Exemplary Category	Learning plan and materials are clearly and concisely presented such that they: a) Can be easily read and understood by other professionals b) Include basic learning plan elements (age, grade level, standards, instructional goals and objectives, instructional tasks, learning materials, learning strategies, and assessments) c) Describe how learners will collaborate with their peers (eg. classmates) d) Describe how learners will collaborate or interact with the broader learning community (eg. learners from other classes or geographic areas, or presenting to an outside audience) e) Include scoring rubrics to be shared with learners that articulate the expected performance criteria f) Support document templates (eg. performance records, journal outlines, scoresheets)
Formative Evaluation (ISTE 4.b, 4.c)	Has 0 of the criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 1 of the three criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 2 of the three criteria from the Exemplary Category	Is written clearly and concisely, and includes: a) A summary of recommendations from professional review b) A summary of recommendations from learners in the target audience c) An implementation plan, including rationale for which suggestions for change are appropriate to implement.
Critique (ISTE 2.b, 2.d, 2.e, 2.f, 4.a, 4.b, 4.c)	Has 0 of the criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 1 of the three criteria from the Exemplary Category	Has 2 of the three criteria from the Exemplary Category	A 5 or more page document (double spaced,12 point font, 1 inch margins) that: a) Demonstrates command of concepts involved in instructional design b) Describes and lists the weak, adequate, and strong parts of the completed project draft c) Provides, as appropriate, constructive suggestions to improve areas in the project